

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

Mercedes Paredes

Case No.

Plaintiff,

v.

Tesfast, LLC.

COMPLAINT

**PLAINTIFF DEMANDS
TRIAL BY JURY**

Defendants.

Plaintiff, Mercedes Paredes (“Plaintiff”), by and through her attorney, Daniel I. Schlade, complain against Tesfast, LLC. (“Defendant” or “Tesfast”). In support of this Complaint, Plaintiff states:

Introduction

1. This action seeks redress for Defendants’ willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. (“FLSA”), as well as violations of the New York Labor Law, Section 190, *et. seq.* (“NYLL”)

Parties

2. Plaintiff is a resident of Spring Valley; and he was employed by Tesfast.

3. Tesfast is a business that is located, headquartered, and conducts business in Airmont, New York.

4. Defendants are “an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce” under 29 USC § 203(s)(1)(A)(i) and (ii) because they have annual gross volume of sales made or business done of at least \$500,000; and because they are engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce. Additionally, they have more than three employees.

Jurisdiction And Venue

5. The Court possesses subject matter jurisdiction over the FLSA claim(s) pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), and 28 U.S.C. §1337; and supplemental jurisdiction over any related state law claim(s) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York because all underlying facts and transactions occurred in or about Rockland County, New York.

Facts Common To All Claims

7. Tesfast is an “employer” as that term is defined in Section 203 of the FLSA, because it is a privately owned for-profit entity in the State of New York.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Failure to Pay Overtime Wages In Violation of the FLSA)

8. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 7, as if set forth in full herein for Paragraph 8.

9. Plaintiff began working at Tesfast in or before January 2022- March 10, 2022.
10. At all times, Plaintiff held the same position at Tesfast , they were a driver for health provider. Plaintiff was an “employee” of Defendants as that term is used in Section 203 of the FLSA because he was employed by Defendants to perform driving a medical equipment van and occasionally perform covid testing on clients, and they do not fall into any of the exceptions or exemptions for workers under the FLSA.
11. Although schedules are subject to change, Plaintiff’s general schedule with Defendants required Plaintiff to work on average 66 hours per week.
12. Plaintiff was paid their wages on a(n) weekly basis.
13. Plaintiff’s wages were not based on the number of jobs performed or completed, nor was it based on the quality or efficiency of their performance.
14. Plaintiff’s rate of pay was \$25 per hour.
15. Throughout the course of Plaintiff’s employment with Defendants, Defendants regularly scheduled and directed Plaintiff to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
16. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff not less than one and a half (1.5) times the regular rate at which he was employed during the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
17. On information and belief, Defendants have failed to keep proper time records tracking Plaintiffs’ time worked; and Defendants’ failure and refusal to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week was a willful violation of the FLSA. Defendant knew or should have known that Plaintiff was entitled to time-and-a-half wages for hours worked in excess of 40 per week, and thus its conduct was willful.
18. Plaintiff is entitled to recover unpaid overtime wages and liquidated damages for up to three (3) years prior to the filing of this lawsuit. On information and belief, this amount includes: (i) \$3,650.00 in unpaid overtime wages; (ii) liquidated damages of \$ 3,650.00 ; and (iii) Plaintiff’s

attorney's fees and costs, to be determined. A calculation of Plaintiff's damages are attached as Exhibit A.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Failure to Pay Overtime Wages In Violation of the NYLL)

19. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-18, as if set forth in full herein for this Paragraph 19.

20. This count arises from Defendants' violation of the NYLL, by: failing to pay Plaintiff New York mandated overtime wages for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in each individual work week in violation of the NYLL.

21. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff the New York-mandated overtime wage rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in an individual work week is a violation of the IMWL.

22. Pursuant to the NYLL, Plaintiff is entitled to recover unpaid overtime wages for six (6) years prior to the filing of this suit, which amount totals \$3,650.00.

23. In additional Defendants' violation of the NYLL was willful, and thus Plaintiff is entitled to recover liquidated damages pursuant to the NYLL totaling .

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Failure to Issue Accurate Age Notices In Violation of the NYLL)

24. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-23, as if set forth in full herein for this Paragraph 24.

25. NYLL §§ 195(1) and (2) require employers to issue accurate wage notices to employees at the time of their hiring or when any changes to the information required to appear on wage notices occurs.

26. During the six years preceding this Complaint, Plaintiff experienced material changes in their employment, including the rate of pay at which Plaintiff was compensated.

27. During the preceding six years, Defendants unlawfully failed to issue accurate wage notices to Plaintiff by failing to notify Plaintiff of their accurate rate of pay, including overtime pay.

28. Due to Defendants' NYLL violations, Plaintiff is entitled to \$50 per day for every day accurate wage notices were not provided, up to \$5,000 per person, plus interest and attorneys' fees and costs.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Failure to Furnish Accurate Wage Statements/Paystubs)

29. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-28, as if set forth in full herein for this Paragraph 29.

30. NYLL § 195(3) requires employers to furnish employees with accurate wage statements, i.e., pay stubs, that include accurate, inter alia, rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, and hours worked.

31. During the preceding six years, Defendants unlawfully failed to issue accurate wage statements to Plaintiff, including failing to include overtime pay in any such wage statements.

32. Due to Defendants' NYLL violations, Plaintiff is each entitled to \$250 per violation up to \$5,000 per person, plus interest and attorneys' fees and costs.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Failure to Pay Wages In Violation of The NYLL)

33. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-32, as if set forth in full herein for this Paragraph 33.

34. Plaintiff performed work for Defendants during the following period(s): January 2022 through March 2022 (the "Unpaid Wage Period").

35. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff their full earned wages for the Unpaid Wage Period.

36. Defendants owed Plaintiff \$8,450.00 in unpaid wages for the Unpaid Wage Period, and said wages have not been paid to date.

37. Defendants have violated NYLL Section 191, and any other applicable NYLL provisions, by failing to pay Plaintiff their wages in an untimely manner.

38. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for the full amount of the unpaid wages totaling \$ 8,450.00 , liquidated damages of \$ 8,450.00 , prejudgment interest as required by the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules, and Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Mercedes Paredes respectfully requests that the Court enter a judgment in their favor and against Defendants Tesfast, for:

- A. An award for Defendant's violations of the FLSA in the amount of unpaid overtime wages for all time worked by Plaintiff in excess of forty (40) hours in individual work weeks, totaling at least \$ 3,650.00;
- B. An award of liquidated damages for Defendant's willful violations of the FLSA in an amount equal to at least \$ 3,650.00;
- C. An award for Defendant's violations of the NYLL and/or its regulations, totaling at least \$ plus such pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed by law;
- D. An award of liquidated damages for Defendant's willful violations of the NYLL in an amount equal to at least \$.
- E. An award of \$50 for each day that Defendants violated NYLL § 195(1) and/or (2), up to \$5,000.00
- F. An award of \$250 for each violation of NYLL § 195(3) by Defendants, up to \$5,000.00
- G. The full amount of any unpaid wages due to Plaintiff totaling \$ 8,450.00, liquidated damages of \$ 8,450.00, prejudgment interest as required by the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules, and Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs.

- H. A declaration that Defendants violated the FLSA;
- I. An award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- J. Any such additional or alternative relief as this Court deems just and proper.

s/Daniel I. Schlade
Attorney For Plaintiff

Daniel I. Schlade (Reg. No. 5916697)
Attorney For Plaintiff
Justicia Laboral, LLC
6232 N. Pulaski, #300
Chicago, IL 60646
773-550-3775
Email: dschlade@justicialaboral.com
danschlade@gmail.com

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY